Syllabus
PHIL 4120 (3 Cr.)
Modern Philosophy
Fall 2016

Instructor: Dr. Kristopher G. Phillips
Office: 108F General Classrooms
Office Phone: 435.586.7912
Office Hours: TR 9:30a-11:30a, W 3:30-4:30 & also by appointment
Email: kristopherphillips@suu.edu

Class Meets:
MWF 2:00p-2:50p in SC 128

COURSE DESCRIPTION: The traditional narrative surrounding the development of philosophy in the 17th and 18th centuries revolves around a dispute between so-called “rationalists” (Descartes, Spinoza and Leibniz), “empiricists” (Locke, Berkeley, and Hume), culminating in a great unifier (Kant). There are a number of reasons to be unhappy with this narrative. It oversimplifies the complex interactions and influences important thinkers had on one another; ignores the contributions women made to the intellectual scene; forces us to study systematic thinkers in an incomplete way; and offers at best a shallow understanding of tremendously rich philosophical and scientific issues.

In this class, we will focus on only three figures from the “modern” era: Rene Descartes, Margaret Cavendish, and David Hume. We will devote substantial time to developing and understanding their philosophical systems and the interconnections between these thinkers. The idea is to develop the skills required to approach the works from this era (for example: how to read texts closely, how to extract extended arguments from longer manuscripts, how to reconstruct arguments in a way that is charitable to the author, how to be sensitive to and avoid anachronism, and how to approach a philosopher’s work systematically). The reason that we will focus on these skills is that they will allow you continue to read modern philosophy carefully and attentively well beyond our short time together. To that end, I have included on the last page a list of works from the 17th and 18th centuries that we will not read this semester, but that deserve careful attention, and will prepare you for future studies better than almost any of your peers.

Course Learning Outcomes:
• Critical Thinking: The successful student will begin to be able to differentiate between good and bad reasons for holding philosophical beliefs and will be able to seek out and identify the assumptions that lie at the basis of philosophical positions.
• Inquiry and Analysis: The successful student will begin to be able to break complex philosophical problems into their components, and will be able to identify the consequences and implications of philosophical positions.
• Writing: The successful student will be able to express some abstract and complex philosophical ideas in clear, precise, and logical prose.

Learning outcomes will be evaluated through exams, a paper, and discussions both in-class and on Canvas.

ISBN: 978-0-87220-229-0 (EHU)
These can be found at the College Bookstore, and are required.
SCHEDULE OF READINGS:
This list of readings is tentative. Specific reading assignments will be given on a day-to-day basis.

Week 1
Descartes: Discourse on Method, Parts I-IV (CSM 20-40)

Weeks 2-5
Descartes
Dedicatory Letter to the Sorbonne & Preface to the Reader (Canvas)
Synopsis & First Meditation (CSM 73-79) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 123-126)
Second Meditation (CSM 80-86) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 126-131)
Third Meditation (CSM 86-98) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 131-133)
Fourth Meditation (CSM 98-105) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 133-135)
Fifth Meditation (CSM 105-110) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 135-143)
Sixth Meditation (CSM 110-122) & Selections from Objections and Replies (CSM 143-150)
Passions of the Soul Part I (CSM 218-238)

[Descartes Exam]

Weeks 6-10
Cavendish
Cunning: Introduction (Canvas)
Part I
Sections 1-3 (OEP 46-53)
Section 17 (OEP 74-75) & Descartes Principles II (CSM 189-199) & Letter XXX (Canvas)
Sections 20-21 (OEP 79-90)
Section 31 (OEP 125-131)
Sections 35-37 (OEP 137-194)
Part II
Sections 1-3 (OEP 195-200)
Section 6 (OEP 204-208)
Sections 9-10 (OEP 214-216)
Sections 13-15 (OEP 218-224)
Section 20 (OEP 227-240)
Section 26 (OEP 247-248)
Part III
All (OEP 249-275)
An Argumental Discourse (OEP 23-42, esp. 26-27)

[Cavendish Exam]

Weeks 11-15
Hume
Sections 1-3 (EHU 1-15) [Treatise 1.1.1-1.1.7 (Canvas)]
Section 4-5 (EHU 15-37) [Treatise 1.3.1-1.3.6 (Canvas)]
Section 6 (EHU 37-39) [Treatise 1.3.11-1.3.13 (Canvas)]
Section 7 (EHU 39-53) [Treatise 1.3.14-1.3.15 (Canvas)]
Section 8 (EHU 53-69) [Treatise 2.3.1-2.3.3 (Canvas)]
Section 10 (EHU 72-90)
Section 12 (EHU 107-111) [Treatise 1.4.1 (Canvas)]

[Hume Exam]
ASSIGNMENTS, EVALUATION, POLICY:

Three Exams (60%; 20% for each exam) The exams will be take-home. You will be expected to complete one of three essays. I expect each answer to be 3-4 pages typed. You are to work alone; standard exam rules apply. More on this in class.

Ten Weekly Discussion Board Posts (20%; 2% for each) I expect you to keep up on the reading. To facilitate discussion and keep you on track, I expect you to complete 10 weekly discussion board posts. You may respond to a thread already going, or you may begin a discussion of your own. I expect these to be thoughtful, reflective, substantive posts. A question with no follow-up is not sufficient for your contribution. I would like to see careful engagement with the course material, but I'd also like to see you go beyond the class discussion. These may be critical or clarificatory, but must not be a mere summary of what you’ve read.

Term Paper (20%; 5% for Peer Review, 15% for the final product) You will be expected to complete a term paper on any topic relevant to the modern philosophy. You are not limited to topics that we discuss explicitly in class, and you are welcome (and encouraged) to do research (whether secondary or primary) beyond the books we read. Your paper should be between 2000-3000 words. I will not ask you to submit a draft of your paper but one of your peers must review your paper (see the form online). I will make time in class for the review process to take place. I will review all of the peer reviews, and you will receive a grade on your review commentary. Your final paper MUST take into consideration your peers comments. Failure to do so will result in a significant decrease in your final grade. Your final paper will be due at the close of week 14.

NOTE: I can and will feel free to utilize turnitin.com to ensure the originality of your work. As such, I will require at least an electronic copy of your paper, and probably a hard copy as well.

Late Work: I will accept late exams, but for each 24-hour period that the paper is late, the grade will be reduced by 1/3 of a grade. I will not accept late reading responses.

Grade Disputes: If you feel that your work has been unfairly assessed, you may ask that I reconsider. I am, after all, a human with a unique perspective and various background experiences and beliefs that may lead to different interpretations of your work than what you had in mind. I will do so only if you submit to me, on paper, a one-paragraph typewritten explanation of why you believe that your work has been unfairly evaluated. You must indicate the grade you believe yourself to deserve and explain clearly why it is that you deserve that grade. Your dispute must be submitted within one week of receiving your grade.

Attendance: Excessive absences (6 or more) will hurt you 1 letter grade (as in A- to B-). Philosophy is hard even if you come to class. Skip at your own risk. You are responsible for information that I offer in lecture that is not in the readings. I will not give you notes for unexcused absences. Participation in class discussion can raise your grade up to 1/3 of a letter grade (for instance, if you’re sitting at a 91.5%, and you have attended and contributed to in-class discussions regularly and substantively, then I will not hesitate to bump your grade from an A- to an A).

Plagiarism or academic fraud of any sort will result in an F for the course. Academic dishonesty is a serious matter. Please familiarize yourself with Southern Utah University’s Student Handbook, which you can find online at https://www.suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP633Academic.pdf. I will follow the regulations described in this document for dealing with cheating, plagiarism, etc. If you have any questions regarding what constitutes plagiarism or academic fraud after reading through the student handbook, please do not hesitate to ask me for further clarification.
Disabilities: I warmly welcome any of you who have disabilities. Students with medical, psychological, learning or other disabilities desiring academic adjustments, accommodations, or auxiliary aids must contact the Office for Students with Disabilities. The Office for Students with Disabilities determines eligibility for and authorizes the provision of these services and aids Reasonable and effective accommodations and services will be provided to students if requests are made in a timely manner, with appropriate documentation, in accordance with federal, state, and University guidelines. For Southern Utah University’s full policy, see: http://suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP119Disability.pdf.

Emergency Management: In case of emergency, the University’s Emergency Notification System (ENS) will be activated. Students are encouraged to maintain updated contact information using the link on the homepage of the mySUU portal. In addition, students are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the Emergency Response Protocols posted in each classroom. Detailed information about the University’s emergency management plan can be found at http://suu.edu/emergency.

HEOA Compliance: The sharing of copyrighted material through peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing, except as provided under U.S. copyright law, is prohibited by law.

Other Important Works from the Modern Period

Machiavelli: The Prince
Montaigne: Essays (esp. Apology for Raymond Sebond)
Bacon: The New Organon
Galileo: The Starry Messenger
Galileo: Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems
Hobbes: Leviathan
Hobbes: De Cive
Descartes: Principles of Philosophy
Descartes: Le Monde (The World)
Descartes: L’Homme (Treatise on Man)
Elisabeth of Bohemia: Correspondence with Descartes
Cavendish: The Blazing World
Spinoza: Theological-Political Treatise
Spinoza: Treatise on the Emendation of the Intellect
Spinoza: Ethics
Conway: Principles of the Most Ancient and Modern Philosophy
Leibniz: Discourse on Metaphysics
Leibniz: Monadology
Leibniz: Correspondence with Clarke
D. Cudworth: Correspondence with Leibniz
Newton: De Gravitatione
Newton: Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy
Locke: Two Treatises of Government (esp. the second)
Locke: An Essay Concerning Human Understanding
Cockburn: A Defense of Mr. Locke’s Essay of Human Understanding
Malebranche: Dialogues on Metaphysics and Religion
Malebranche: The Search After Truth
Berkeley: Three Dialogues
Berkeley: A Treatise Concerning the Principles of Human Knowledge
Berkeley: Correspondence with Johnson
Shepherd: Essays on the Perception of an External Universe
Pascal: Pensées
Butler: Fifteen Sermons
Mandeville: The Fable of the Bees
Hutcheson: An Inquiry Concerning Moral Good and Evil
Smith: The Theory of Moral Sentiments
Hume: A Treatise of Human Nature
Hume: An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals
Reid: An Inquiry into the Human Mind on the Principles of Common Sense
Reid: Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man
Rousseau: The Social Contract
Rousseau: The First and Second Discourses
Du Chatelet: Commentary on Newton’s Principia
Du Chatelet: Discourse on Happiness
Du Chatelet: Foundations of Physics
Wollstonecraft: A Vindication of the Right of Woman
Kant: Critique of Pure Reason
Kant: Critique of Practical Reason
Kant: Critique of Judgment
Kant: Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals